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ABSTRACT
We argue that verbose queries used for software retrieval contain many terms that follow specific discourse rules, yet hinder retrieval. We report the results of an empirical study on the effect of removing such terms from verbose queries in the context of Text Retrieval-based concept location. In the study, we remove terms from 424 queries, generated from bug reports of nine open source systems. Removing the terms leads to substantial improvement in retrieval: 73% of the queries are improved, leading to 21.8% and 13.4% gain in terms of MRR and MAP, respectively. Such improvement is larger than that of many more sophisticated state-of-the-art approaches. The results show promise and the future challenge lies with automatically identifying the terms to be removed from the verbose queries.
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D.2.7 [Software Engineering]: Distribution, Maintenance, and Enhancement—Restructuring, reverse engineering and engineering
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1. INTRODUCTION
Researchers developed Text Retrieval (TR)-based techniques to support more than 30 software engineering tasks [2], such as, traceability link recovery or concept location in software. Automatic TR-based approaches usually use as input the complete text of software artifacts as queries. For example, many automatic TR-based concept location approaches, use the title and complete description of bug reports as queries [15, 17, 20, 22, 23], whereas, traceability link recovery techniques often use complete requirements or use cases [4, 7, 8]. Such artifacts have a well defined format [3, 5] and audiences, which impose specific discourse rules [13]. In other words, these artifacts are not meant to be used as queries for software artifact retrieval. For example, bug reports usually describe the observed and expected software behaviors, steps to reproduce the bug, code examples, etc. [3]. Often times the bug descriptions use generic phrases, such as, “the application crashes” or “the web page is not loaded in the browser”. Such terms are likely to negatively impact the performance of code retrieval.

The traditional way of handling user queries, including verbose ones, in software engineering applications, is through document/query preprocessing and query reformulation. Preprocessing is a common step in the retrieval process that includes: stop word removal, common English and programming language terms removal, code identifiers splitting, stemming, spellchecking, etc. [7, 15]. Often, the queries remain verbose even after preprocessing. Query reformulation approaches have mainly focused on adding terms to queries (a.k.a. query expansion) [9, 11], such as, synonyms, and selecting/boosting key terms [6], rather than on removing noisy words (a.k.a. query reduction). In this paper, we show how the reduction of verbose queries has the potential to substantially improve the performance of TR-based concept location.

2. EMPIRICAL STUDY
The goal of our empirical study is to compare the retrieval accuracy achieved by the reduced queries with the one obtained with the original queries. Our purpose is to determine the effect of removing terms from queries on the performance of a traditional TR-based concept location technique, i.e., using Lucene [12], to help developers locate bugs in source code. In consequence, we formulate the following research question:

Do reduced queries improve the accuracy of TR-based concept location compared to queries with no modification?

2.1 Context and Planning
The context of the study is represented by 424 bug reports marked as fixed, from nine open source systems, used in recent work [17] (see Table 1). The bug reports are used as queries to retrieve classes that need to change. Each query is created by concatenating the title and description of a bug report. Code documents (i.e., classes) are created from identifiers, comments and literals. Queries and code documents are normalized using identifier splitting, special characters removal, common English stop words and programming keywords removal, and stemming [19]. We also remove code snippets, identifier references, and execution traces from the queries (using an Island Parser [18]), as this information
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### Table 1: Systems used in the empirical study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th># of bug reports</th>
<th># of classes</th>
<th># of terms per query*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BookKeeper 4.1.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>14.0 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derby 10.9.1.0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>3,139</td>
<td>21.1 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucene 4.0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5,901</td>
<td>15.2 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahout 0.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3,260</td>
<td>21.0 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenJPA 2.2.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4,994</td>
<td>25.3 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig 0.11.1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2,510</td>
<td>17.2 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solr 4.4.0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6,486</td>
<td>20.0 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tika 1.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5,901</td>
<td>20.0 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZooKeeper 3.4.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>21.0 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>424</strong></td>
<td><strong>28,156</strong></td>
<td><strong>19.4 (16)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Average values, and in parenthesis, median values

### Table 2: Maximum retrieval performance achieved by reduced queries in comparison with the original queries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Queries</th>
<th>Avg Effect.*</th>
<th>MRR</th>
<th>MAP</th>
<th>HIT@1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>159.5 (8)</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>103 (2)</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In parenthesis, median Effectiveness values

is likely to contain explicit references to the code, thus reducing bias [14, 21]. After preprocessing, the queries still remain verbose, as the average query length is 19.4 terms (see Table 1).

To evaluate the performance of the TR-based approach (i.e., Lucene), we compute a set of metrics against a gold set, which contains the relevant code documents for each query. Existing classes of the software systems that were modified to fix each bug represent the relevant code documents. We manually filtered out those classes with changes that were not intended to fix the bug described in the reports. We utilize standard metrics previously used in concept location research [10, 16]. We use Effectiveness, i.e., the best rank obtained for a query; Mean Reciprocal Rank (MRR), a statistic that measures the aggregate quality of the ranking of a retrieval approach and is computed as the average between the reciprocal Effectiveness of a set of queries; Mean Average Precision (MAP), another aggregate measure that reflects how well all the changed documents rank; and HIT@1, the number of queries with one relevant document retrieved in the top of the ranked list (see [17, 22] for the metric definitions).

In order to identify the query terms to be removed we perform the following procedure. For a particular query q, we obtain its baseline Effectiveness by running q with Lucene without any modification. Then, for each term t in q, we create a new query qi by removing t from q. We run qi and measure the Effectiveness achieved by the query. Finally, we mark a term t as to-remove if the reduced query qi achieves a better (i.e., lower) Effectiveness than the baseline Effectiveness. To obtain the reduced queries, we sort the marked terms in descending order, by the magnitude of improvement in Effectiveness, and remove them one by one from the original query, starting from the top one. We measure and report the performance of each reduced query (see [1]). This procedure is repeated for every query in our dataset.

### 2.2 Results

Table 2 summarizes the results obtained for the original and reduced queries, when all the marked terms are removed. 26% of the queries were not reduced, as no term was marked for reduction. As Table 2 reveals, most of these (21.7% - see HIT@1) already have Effectiveness one (1), so removing any term cannot lead to improvement. As for the improvements, nearly 73% of the queries are improved via the reduction, reaching up to 21.8%, 13.4% and 20% overall gain in terms of MRR, MAP and HIT@1, respectively. We note that the improvement is higher or comparable with the results reported by state-of-the-art research, where multiple sources of information are used [15, 22, 24]. The reduced queries also achieve a two (2) median effectiveness, i.e., for 50% of the queries, the first relevant document is retrieved in the first two positions. For the 74% of the queries where at least one term is removed, 6,6 out of 18,2 query terms are removed, in average (i.e., 36.3% of the queries’ length). It is interesting to note that 1% of the queries had terms marked for removal, yet when all of them were removed, the results did not improve. Such cases need further investigation.

We also report the performance trend when the terms are removed one by one from the original queries (i.e., when 0 terms are deleted). Fig. 1 shows that such trend follows a monotonic increasing behavior, having higher value changes when few terms are removed. The curve then slowly grows as the number of terms removed increases. The improvement is substantial when one term is deleted (9.5% MRR, 5.3% MAP and 8.7 HIT@1).

### 3. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Our empirical study indicates that verbose queries used in TR-based software maintenance can be substantially improved via reduction. The main challenge for future work is automatically identifying the terms that should be removed to achieve retrieval improvement. Machine learning techniques could be used to identify such terms, based on statistical and semantic features of the terms.
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